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Abstract
Background: MICA and MICB are tightly regulated stress-induced proteins that trigger the immune system by binding to the activating receptor NKG2D on cytotoxic lymphocytes. MICA and MICB are highly polymorphic molecules with prevalent expression on several types of solid tumors and limited expression in normal/healthy tissues, making them attractive targets for therapeutic intervention.

Methods: We have generated a series of anti-MICA and MICB cross-reactive antibodies with the unique feature of binding to the most prevalent isoforms of both these molecules.

Results: The anti-MICA and MICB antibody MICAB1, a human IgG1 Fc-engineered monoclonal antibody (mAb), displayed potent antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and antibody-dependent cell phagocytosis (ADCP) of MICA/B-expressing tumor cells in vitro. However, it showed insufficient efficiency against solid tumors in vivo, which prompted the development of antibody-drug conjugates (ADC). Indeed, optimal tumor control was achieved with MICAB1-ADC format in several solid tumor models, including patient-derived xenografts (PDX) and carcinogen-induced tumors in immunocompetent MICAgen
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transgenic mice. **Conclusions:** These data indicate that MICA and MICB are promising targets for cytotoxic immunotherapy.
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Introduction

Cancer is one of the most feared diseases of the 21st century, but immuno-oncology has revolutionized its treatment. Strategies based on the use of therapeutic monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) directed against immune checkpoint inhibitors\(^1\), or cytotoxic mAbs against specific tumor antigens have proved particularly effective\(^2\). Cytotoxic mAbs have been engineered to improve their therapeutic efficiency through the Fc-mediated immune effector function or by using antibody drug conjugates (ADCs). The number of approved ADCs has doubled in the last three years, reflecting the potential of this powerful tool to fight cancers\(^8\). Various tumor antigens have been targeted in this context. The most appropriate targets are accessible hematological tumor cell surface molecules (e.g. CD22, CD79b, BCMA, CD30, CD33), or highly expressed solid tumor antigens (e.g. Her-2, Nectin-4, Trop-2). Many targets have been explored in clinical development using the ADC approach and 11 of them have been approved. Targets with limited expression in normal tissues, which are well internalized and play a role in tumor biology (growth, metastasis or resistance), are potentially great assets for ensuring a positive efficacy/toxicity balance for this approach\(^1\).

Major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I-related chain A and B polypeptides (MICA and MICB) are cell surface molecules with low levels of expression at steady state. However, they can be induced by cellular stresses, such as infections and tumor transformation\(^13\). The induction of their expression is tightly controlled by E2F, the DNA damage response and p53\(^13\). Together with members of the UL16-binding protein (ULBP) family, MICA and MICB act as ligands of the human NKG2D immunoreceptor, which is expressed on the surface of T cells (e.g. CD8\(^+\) T cells, \(\gamma\delta\) T cells) and natural killer (NK) cells\(^14\). Following ligand binding, NKG2D directly delivers activating signals promoting cytotoxicity and cytokine production\(^2\). MICA and MICB are frequently associated with epithelial tumors, induced by microbial infections, and is aberrantly expressed in certain autoimmune disease lesions\(^13\). MICA expression is also associated with hematological malignancies including leukemia and multiple myeloma\(^13\). MICA has a structure resembling the protein fold of MHC class I molecules, with an \(\alpha1-\alpha2\) platform domain and a membrane-proximal Ig-like \(\alpha3\) domain\(^7\). Our objective was to develop an efficient therapeutic tool targeting MICA and MICB in oncological indications. We generated a group of cytotoxic mAbs targeting the products of MICA and MICB alleles. The limited efficiency of these mAbs in models of solid tumors \textit{in vivo} was the rationale to develop a novel antibody–drug conjugate (ADC) comprising the human anti-MICA/B Ab conjugated to highly potent pyrrolobenzodiazepine (PBD) toxin with an intracellular cleavable linker using site-specific bacterial transglutaminase (BTG) technology. Preclinical efficacy and preliminary toxicity results validate MICA/B as an attractive therapeutic target for ADC approach in multiple solid tumors.

Results

MICA/B protein levels are low in healthy tissues and high at the tumor bed

We started by analyzing MICA/MICB protein levels in a large series of healthy tissues and tumors, as the quantification of MICA/MICB mRNA is not predictive of the amounts of the corresponding proteins expressed at the cell surface, due to the complex processes regulating these transcripts\(^10\). We therefore developed a novel mAb directed against both MICA and MICB, named Mia4, which specifically stained the MICA and MICB proteins on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor tissue sections (Figure 1a). Healthy tissues were barely stained with the anti-MICA/B Mia4 mAb, including organs with high levels of blood flow, exposure, and clearance: the skin, liver, lung and all vital organs, heart and spleen. Only limited expression was detected on the testis and ovary (Figure 1b). By contrast, tumor biopsy specimens from patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), mesothelioma, ovarian, endometrial cancers, breast and melanoma were stained with the anti-MICA/B Mia4 mAb, revealing high levels of MICA/B expression in tumors (Figure 1c). Importantly, MICA/B expression was observed at the membrane of tumor cells, in addition to intracytoplasmic expression (Figure 1a and 1b). Indeed, 20 to 100% of biopsy specimens from patients with ovarian adenocarcinoma, breast cancer (estrogen receptor-positive (ER\(^+\)) and -negative (ER\(^-\))), metastatic melanoma, head and neck (H&N), non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC, squamous and adenocarcinoma), mesothelioma and urethelial cancer, scored with more than 50% of cells positive for MICA/B expression (Figure 1d). Breast cancer tumor biopsies were scored based on the percentage of tumor cells expressing MICA (Figure 1e). Important membranous MICA/MICB staining was observed in tumor biopsies at various scores (Figure 1f). These results confirm the identification of MICA/B as an interesting candidate tumor antigen.

MICAB1, a pan-allelic antibody, promotes \textit{in vitro} antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and phagocytosis (ADCP) and \textit{in vivo} antitumor function

For the targeting of MICA and MICB in cancer patients, we generated anti-MICA and MICB antibodies, by immunizing mice with recombinant soluble human MICA-Fc recombinant protein or a range of human C1R cells negative for endogenous MICA and MICB expression and engineered to express MICA alleles (Table 1). MICAB1 was selected based on its binding capacity to the products of 28 different alleles of MICA\(^6\) with Luminex technology, indicating that its epitope binding site was not affected by MICA and MICB allele polymorphisms (Figure 2a).

We then evaluated the ability of MICAB1 with Fc optimization to promote peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC)-mediated ADCC towards human C1R cells expressing the MICA*001 or MICB*002 allele. MICAB1 mediated strong ADCC by resting PBMCs (Figure 2b). Similarly, Fc-engineered
MICAB1 induced primary human NK cell activation in cocultures of PBMCs with human C1R cells expressing different alleles MICA or MICB, as shown by the induction of the cell surface activation marker CD137, whereas Fc-silent MICAB1 did not (Figure 2c). Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGF-R), CD20 and MICA and MICB were expressed on the surface of A549 lung cancer cells and Raji B-cell lymphoma cells (Figure 2d, upper panels). MICAB1, targeting MICA and MICB, promoted an efficient tumor target lysis by PBMCs when compared to the anti-EGF-R mAb cetuximab and the anti-CD20 mAb rituximab, which are widely used in clinical practice (Figure 2d, lower panels). MICAB1 also promoted the phagocytosis of MICA-expressing C1R target cells by monocye-derived macrophages in vitro (Figure 2e). Thus, MICAB1 efficiently promotes the ADCC and ADCP of MICA-expressing tumor target cells in vitro.

We then assessed the in vivo efficacy of MICAB1. We used a tumor model consisting of NOD-SCID mice bearing invasive MICA-expressing B-cell lymphomas, resulting from the intravenous (i.v.) injection of Raji-MICA*001 tumor cells (Figure 3a). These mice were simultaneously treated with a...
range of doses of MICAB1 Fc-engineered mAb. We found that MICAB1 Fc-engineered mAb treatment was effective over a range of doses, with 50% and 100% of mice surviving at doses of 0.5 and 5 mg mAb/kg of body weight, respectively, versus 30% of the mice treated with the control mAb at a dose of 5 mg/kg (Figure 3a). MICAB1 Fc-engineered mAb treatment also decreased the numbers of Raji-MICA*001 and MICA*008 tumor cells (exhibiting low and medium levels of surface MICA, respectively), recovered from the peritoneal cavity of NOD-SCID mice, relative to control mAb-treated mice (Figure 3b). In this model MICAB1 Fc-engineered mAb was more potent than commercial anti-MICA/B mAb BAMO3 at controlling tumor cells with low level of MICA (Figure 3b).

We then evaluated the therapeutic effect of MICAB1 mlgG2a mAb on tumor models based on subcutaneous (s.c.) injection of B16F10-MICA*001 in immunocompetent MICAgen transgenic mice (Figure 3c and d). Beneficial effects of MICAB1 were moderate for the treatment of solid tumor models, compared to intravenous or intraperitoneal tumor models.

**Generation and characterization of MICAB1 PBD1G-ADCs**

We then aimed to improve the therapeutic effect of the MICAB1 mAb in solid tumors, using a MICAB1 Fc-silent antibody conjugated to potent cytotoxic payloads. We checked that MICA was internalized after binding to the MICAB1 mAb. The binding of the MICAB1 Fc-silent mAb coupled to a pH-sensitive dye on MICA-transfected Raji cells led to an increase in the intensity of the fluorescence signal during the first hour, consistent with rapid internalization (Figure 4a). For the payloads, we focused on the highly potent pyrrolobenzodiazepine family (PBD), to maximize the potential efficacy of MICAB1-ADCs against a broad range of tumors with various levels of MICA and MICB expression. The MICAB1 Fc-silent mAb was coupled to a first-generation PBD (PBD1G) with BTG technology, which has been reported to limit off-target toxicity, at a drug-antibody ratio (DAR) of 2, via an intracellular valine-alanine cleavable peptide linker (Figure 4b). In vivo, the MICAB1-ADCs had a strong effect in a xenogenic colon carcinoma model HCT-116, in nude mice, at doses as low as 0.05 mg/kg, administered once weekly for three weeks, as demonstrated by comparison to an isotype control (Figure 4c).

We then tested the MICAB1-ADCs in patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models, which present the obvious advantage of originating from cancer patients, and hence may better reflect human tumor physiology and preserve the heterogeneity of the original tumors. We performed immunohistochemical staining (IHC) to assess MICA/B expression in a panel of 146 PDXs corresponding to different types of human cancer (breast, lung and colon cancer). The distribution of MICA expression in PDXs matched that observed in patients (Figure 1 and Table 2). MICAB1 PBD1G-ADCs had a curative effect in a PDX model of HER-2+ breast cancer, at doses as low as 0.05 mg/kg once weekly for eight weeks, with a complete remission rate of 100% on day 85 (Figure 4d). MICAB1 PBD1G-ADCs also increased the survival of C57BL/6 mice receiving injections of MICA-transfected B16F10 melanoma cells, and the cured mice developed long-term protective immunity when rechallenged with B16F10 MICA*001 (Figure 4e). Thus, MICAB1 PBD1G-ADCs were reactive against several xenogenic and syngeneic tumor models in vivo.

**MICAB1 PBD2G ADCs inhibit tumor growth in vivo**

The PBD1G-ADCs have raised safety issues in several clinical trials. We therefore coupled the MICAB1 mAb to a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunization</th>
<th>Immunogen</th>
<th>Selected mAb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>MICA*019-Fc recombinant protein</td>
<td>MICAB1, MICAB2, MICA1, MICA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Mix of C1R-MICA<em>001, MICA</em>004, MICA<em>007, MICA</em>008 transfected cells</td>
<td>MICAB4, MICAB5, MICAB6, MICA3, MICA4, MICA5, MICA6, MICA7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MICA= antibody binding to MICA only. MICAB= antibody binding to both MICA and MICB.
second-generation PBD (PBD2G), less toxic for the target cells and with a better therapeutic index.

The therapeutic effect of MICAB1 PBD2G-ADCs was then evaluated in vivo, in immunodeficient NOD-SCID mice into which H1703 lung carcinoma cells had been injected. MICAB1 PBD2G-ADC treatment was effective at a single low dose of 0.3 mg/kg, with one third of the mice displaying complete remission and a significant delay of apparent tumor growth, by ~40 to 60 days relative to the control mAb (Figure 5a). In a second xenogenic model, nude mice engrafted with HCT116 colon carcinoma were treated with MICAB1 PDB2G-ADCs at doses of 0.5, 1 and 2 mg/kg, which delayed apparent tumor growth, by ~20, 50 and 80 days, respectively, relative to the isotype control PDB2G conjugate (Figure 5b). We then assessed two breast cancer PDXs, HER-2

Although the transgenic MICAgen mouse represents a valuable model to study MICA in vivo, IHC analyses of tissues from these mice revealed MICA expression in several organs, whereas no such expression was observed in human organs (Table 3 and Figure 5d). Nevertheless, we established a cancer model in MICAgen mice, by injecting a carcinoma, methylicholanthrene (MCA), which presents the advantage of reconstituting real carcinogenesis and the associated tumor microenvironment. Importantly, MCA-induced fibrosarcomas displayed cell-surface MICA expression (Figure 5c).

The therapeutic effect of MICAB1 PBD2G-ADCs was then evaluated in mice bearing tumors induced by MCA injection (Figure 5f). MICAB1 PBD2G-ADC treatment displayed signs of activity even for a single dose of 0.5 mg/kg, relative to the control group treated with IC-PBD2G at the same dose. A single injection of 2 mg/kg MICAB1 PBD2G-ADC delayed tumor growth considerably by 20 days. Of note, no therapeutic effect was observed with the MICAB1 mAb in MCA-induced fibrosarcomas bearing MICAgen mice even at optimal doses of 10 mg/ml once weekly for three weeks (Figure 5g).

Altogether, these results showed that MICAB1-PBD2G-ADC are efficient to treat solid tumors with 100% of complete remission on day 85 in two PDXs treated mice and are well tolerated in MICAgen humanized mice.

Discussion

MICA and MICB are tightly regulated stress-induced proteins that trigger the immune system by binding to the activating receptor NKG2D on cytotoxic lymphocytes. Targeting the NKG2D-MICA/B axis is a very attractive immunotherapeutic approach. Conceptually different ways of targeting MICA are currently being investigated, including bispecific T-cell engagers B2-OKT3 (MICA, CD3), bispecific antibodies, such as JZC01 (MICA, VEGFR2), 2A9-MICA (MICA, BCMA), cytotoxic anti-a3 domain-specific mAbs for inducing ADC (38,39), and CAR-NK cells. Targeting MICA/B using an ADC approach is, to our knowledge, totally novel.

It was previously reported that MICA and MICB are predominantly expressed intracellularly in tumor and normal tissue using commercially available antibodies directed against...
MICA α1/2 or α3 domains. In contrast, our results indicate that limited MICA expression was detected on healthy tissues in comparison with an important expression in tumors in large cohorts of patients, that includes both membranous and intracellular expression, as for other solid tumor antigens (Her-2, Nectin-4, TROP-2).

Using in vitro assays with fluorescent dye, we show here that MICAB1 induces a high level of MICA internalization, supporting the development of ADCs targeting MICA and MICB using intracellular cleavable linkers. We observed complete remission in most of the tumor models tested with the MICAB1-ADC approach. In addition, a strong vaccination effect was obtained with MICA-ADC in a syngeneic MICA-transfected melanoma model.

Our ADC technology includes an enzymatic conjugation method using BTG, facilitating the site-specific conjugation of the linker-toxin to the mAb, thereby making it possible to control the drug-antibody ratio. We coupled the MICAB1-Fc silent mAb to potent DNA binder payloads, to promote the killing of MICA/MICB-expressing tumor cells. ADCs carrying a new generation of PBDs are currently in clinical development. ADCT-402 (loncastuximab tesirine), an ADC against CD19 conjugated to a PBD-dimer toxin, has recently been approved for the treatment of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and mantle cell lymphoma, on the basis of clinical results obtained in phase I and pivotal phase II clinical trials. As the therapeutic index is lower for these potent payloads, good targets must display tumor-restricted expression. In addition, our technology, based on site-specific conjugation with an Fc silent mAb, improves the therapeutic index of ADCs. The use of a genetically engineered mouse model expressing human MICA (MICAgcn mice), in conjunction with carcinogen-induced tumors, further validates the presence of a therapeutic window for our ADCs, without major toxicity, even in the presence of low levels of MICA on normal tissues.

Like other cell surface tumor antigens (Her-2, Nectin-4, CD30), MICA is subject to proteolytic cleavage. The shedding of this protein generates soluble truncated MICA molecules including only the extracellular domain. Given the levels of soluble MICA and MICB found in the serum of cancer patients (~100 pg/mL), and the concentrations of PBD2G-ADCs at doses currently used in clinical practice (~500 and 700 ng/mL), the efficacy of MICAB1-PBD2G-ADCs should not be affected by the presence of soluble MICA, but further investigations aiming at addressing this point will be needed.

Therefore, several approaches are proposed to harness anti-tumor immunity via the targeting of MICAB1-PBD2G. Our results are based on an array of in vivo models including MCA-induced fibrosarcoma in an immunocompetent ad hoc MICA-transgenic mouse model, and PDX. Importantly, efficacy of MICAB1-PBD2G at low dose was observed in two-breast cancer PDXs, including HBCx-34 which is resistant to another ADC targeting trastuzumab emtansine (KADYCYLA), targeting the HER2 tumor antigen. Thus, MICAB1-PBD2G appears a promising therapeutic tool for further clinical development. The next steps for the clinical development of MICA ADCs will include the assessment of their safety profile in non-human primates.

Methods

Antibodies

Cat# MICAB1, RRID:AB_2892111, Innate Pharma; Cat# MICAB1-mIgG2a, RRID:AB_2892224, Innate Pharma; Cat# MICAB1-Fc-silent RRID:AB_2892226; Innate Pharma; Cat# Mia4 mAb AB_2892227, Merk Serono; Cat# Erbitux, RRID: AB_2892606, ROCHE; Cat# Matthera, AB_2892607 Innate Pharma; Cat# IC-mIgG2a RRID:AB_2892232, Innate Pharma; Cat# IC Fc-silent RRID:AB_2892233, Innate Pharma; Cat# Isotype control RRID:AB_2892234, Innate Pharma; Cat# MICAB1-PBD2G, AB_2892235, Innate Pharma; Cat# MICAB1-PBD1G, AB_2892236, Innate Pharma; Cat# IC-PBD2G AB_2892237, Innate Pharma; Cat#IC-PBD1G, AB_2892238, Innate Pharma; Cat# MICAB1-Cyp5, AB_2892239, Innate Pharma; Cat# IC-Cyp5 RRID:AB_2892241, Innate Pharma; Cat#MICAB1-APC, RRID:AB_2892242, Innate Pharma; Cat# IC-APC RRID:AB_2892243, BAMO monoclonal mouse anti-human alpha3 MICA/B antibody from Tubingen university.
Figure 4. In vitro internalization and in vivo efficacy of MICAB1 PBD1G antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs). (a) Raji cells and Raji cells expressing the MICA*001 allele were incubated for one, four or 16 hours (overnight) with MICAB1 or isotype control monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) coupled to a pH-sensitive cyanine dye (Cyp5). Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) profiles showing dye fluorescence upon anti-MICA mAb internalization are shown. Representative data from two independent experiments are shown. (b) Structures of PBD1G. PEG4-val-alα-SGD-1882; PBD2G: PEG8-val-alα-SG3199. (c) HCT-116 cells were engrafted, subcutaneously (s.c.), into nude mice. 10 days later, tumor-bearing mice were randomized to two groups and treated once weekly, for three consecutive weeks, with 0.05 mg/kg MICAB1 PBD1G-ADCs or IC-PBD1G. Tumor growth was followed over time (n=10 per group). The tumor growth is significantly different between antibodies (analysis of variance (ANOVA) on linear mixed-effects model (lmer) p-value = 0.0002). The interaction antibody:time is also significant (ANOVA on lmer p-value = 1.2e-23). (d) HER2 HBCx-5 fragments were engrafted into SHO mice. 35 days later, tumor-bearing mice were treated once weekly for eight consecutive weeks, with 0.05 mg/kg MICAB1 PBD1G-ADCs or IC-PBD1G. Tumor growth was followed over time (n=10 per group). After day 28, no mice survived in the control group: we cannot perform the linear mixed model after that day. Considering time until day 28, antibody is not a significant factor but its interaction with time is (ANOVA on lmer p-value = 2.2e-19). (e) B16F10 cells expressing MICA*001 were engrafted, s.c., into C57BL/6 mice. Four days later, when tumors reached 150 mm³, mice were randomized to six groups and treated once weekly for three consecutive weeks with 0.1 mg/kg, 0.25 mg/kg or 0.5 mg/kg MICAB1 PBD1G-ADCs or IC-PBD1G. Kaplan–Meier curves show mouse survival (n=12 per group). Pairwise comparison by Log-rank Mantel–Cox test between MICAB1 0.5 mg/kg or 0.25 mg/kg with MICAB1 0.1 mg/kg (p-value = 0.002), between MICAB1 0.5 mg/kg or 0.25 mg/kg with isotype controls (p-value = 9.4e-06) and between MICAB1 0.1 mg/kg with isotype controls (p-value = 0.0002) is shown. Right panels: Cured mice (n=9) and naive mice (n=10) were re-challenged s.c. with B16F10 expressing MICA*001 on one flank. Some cured mice (n=4) were not re-challenged and monitored for eventual tumor regrowth. Tumor growth over time is shown. Tumor growth is significantly different between naive mice rechallenged with B16F10 MICA001 vs cured mice rechallenged with B16F10 MICA001 (p-value = 5.7e-06). Representative data from two independent experiments for HCT116 and B16F10 MICA*001 and one experiment for patient-derived xenograft (PDX) are shown.

Table 2. Immunohistochemical analyses of patient-derived xenografts (PDX) tissues for MICA/B expression.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer subtype</th>
<th>N (PDXs)</th>
<th>N (QS≤ 100)</th>
<th>N (QS≤ 200)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breast no TNBC</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast TNBC</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung (NSCLC)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung (SCLC)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liposarcoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: number of PDX samples. QS: quick score = staining intensity x % of stained cells. Staining intensity from 0 to 3. Stained cells from 0 to 100.

Cell lines
C1R and C1R transfected with MICA/B alleles (C1R MICA or C1R MICB) or ULBP cells were previously reported. B16F10 were purchased (ATCC, cat. n. crl-6475m) and transduced with different MICA and MICB alleles. Positive cells were sorted by flow cytometry and expanded in culture. Indicated cell lines were transfected by nucleofection using the 4D-NucleofectorTM system from Lonza (Program FF-120, SF solution). The day after transfection, the hygromycin selection was added to the nucleofected cells at 400 μg/ml. All other tumor cells were purchased from ATCC (H1703, cat. n. CRL5889, HCT116, cat. n. CCL-247, A375 cat. n. CRL-1619, A549 cat. n. CCL-185, BxPC-3 cat. n. CRL-1687) and were cultivated, as recommended by the supplier, in RPMI (cat. n. 31870025, Gibco) or DMEM (cat. n. 11966025, Gibco) supplemented with 10% FCS (Fetal Calf Serum, cat. n. 10270106, Gibco), with or without 1% L-Glutamine 200mM (cat. n. 25030123, Gibco), 1% Sodium Pyruvate 100mM (cat. n. 11360070, Gibco).

Mice
Ethical approval for Innate Pharma study was obtained from CEEA-IPH (CNREEA registration code CEEA – 070). Project authorization using animals for scientific purposes was provided by the French Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation under the number A5AFIS#25600. Approval number for the use of animals at Innate Pharma used for scientific purposes is C 13 055 30. MI-mAbs Authorization (A5AFIS#6653- 201607261206416 v6) was delivered by the French Minister of Enseignement Supérieur, de la recherche et de l’innovation after approval by the Marseille Ethical Committee for Animal Experimentation (Comité National de Réflexion Ethique sur l’Expérimentation Animale no. 14). Mice were handled in accordance with national and European laws for laboratory animal welfare and experimentation (EEC Council Directive 2010/63/EU, September 2010). Xentech authorization to use animals in the CERFE facility was obtained by The Direction Départementale de la Protection des Populations, Ministère de l’Agriculture et de l’Alimentation, France “Direction of the Veterinarian Services, Ministry of Agriculture and Food, France” (agreement No. D-91-228-107). All PDXs experiments were performed in accordance with French legislation concerning the protection of laboratory animals and in accordance with a currently valid license for experiments on vertebrate...
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Figure 5. Therapeutic benefit of MICAB1 antibody-drug conjugate (ADC) in vivo in mouse preclinical models. (a) Lung
adenocarcinoma H1703 cells were engrafted subcutaneously (s.c.) in nude mice. Nine days later, tumor-bearing mice were randomized
to three groups and treated once with 0.3 mg/kg MICAB1 PBD2G-ADCs, IC-PBD2G or vehicle. Tumor volumes per mouse over time are shown
(n=9 per group). Tumor growth over time is significantly different between MICAB1 PBD2G-ADCs and vehicle or IC-PBD2G (analysis
of variance (ANOVA) on linear mixed-effects model (lmer) and pairwise comparisons, p-values <0.05). (b) HCT-116 cells were engrafted s.c.
in nude mice five to six days later, tumor-bearing mice were randomized to six groups and treated once with 0.5, 1 or 2 mg/kg MICAB1
PBD2G-ADCs, IC-PBD2G or vehicle. Tumor growth was followed over time (n=8-9 per group). Representative data from two independent
experiments are shown. For all three concentrations, MICAB1 PBD2G-ADC's effect on tumor growth is significantly different from the vehicle
and from the IC-PBD2G. (ANOVA on lmer and pairwise comparisons, p-values <0.05). (c) HER2 HBCx-5 or luminal HBCx-34 patient-derived
xenografts (PDX) were engrafted into nude mice. 35 to 38 days later, tumor-bearing mice were treated once with 0.5 mg/kg MICAB1 PBD2G-
ADCs or vehicle. Tumor volumes per mouse over time are shown (n=10 per group). MICAB1 PBD2G-ADCs has a significant impact on tumor
growth (ANOVA on lmer p-value for the interaction between antibody and time <0.05). (d) Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded FFPE tissues
slides from testis, liver and lung were stained with the anti-MICA/B Mia4 mAb developed by Innate Pharma or the isotype control at 2 µg/
ml, membranous and/or cytoplasmic staining was observed in several tissues. (e) Methylcholangtherne (MCA) tumors were dissociated and
stained with MICAB1 or IC-APC mAb. MICA cell surface expression was determined by flow cytometry. (f) MICAgen mice were treated s.c. with
100 µg MCA. Around 100 days later, mice bearing fibrosarcomas were randomized into five groups and treated once with vehicle or 0.5 or
2 mg/kg MICAB1 PBD2G-ADCs or IC-PBD2G or vehicle. Tumor volumes per mouse over time are shown (n=15 per group). MICAB1 PBD2G-
ADCs had a significant impact on tumor growth with both concentrations (ANOVA on lmer p-value for the interaction between antibody
and time < 0.05). (g) MICAgen mice were injected s.c. with MCA. Around 100 days later, mice bearing fibrosarcomas were randomized into
four groups. Two groups were treated once with 2 mg/kg of MICAB1 PBD2G-ADCs or IC-PBD2G and two groups were treated once a week
for three weeks with 10 mg/kg of mlgG2a-MICAB1 or mlgG2a-IC. Tumor volumes per mouse over time are shown (n=13 or 14 mice per
group). One experiment was performed. Interaction between antibody and time is significant (ANOVA on lmer p-value = 2.6e-14). Pairwise
comparisons show significant differences between MICAB1-PBD2G and IC-PBD2G (p-value = 0.0006), MICAB1-PBD2G and mlgG2a-MICAB1
(p-value = 1e-05). For PDX models, one experiment was performed.

Table 3. MICA and MICB protein expression in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues from MICAgen mouse as determined by immunohistochemistry. This table summarizes MICA/MICB expression observed on epithelial cells in most of the MICAgen mice tissues using Mia4 mAb.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IHC analysis of MICA expression on MICAgen mice</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>Membranous staining on less than 1% of cells and background noise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>Membranous and cytoplasmic staining in the mucosa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>Weak membranous and cytoplasmic staining in the mucosa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>Membranous and cytoplasmic staining in the epithelium of the prostate glands. Membranous staining in 2% of the epithelial cells of the prostatic urethra.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>Membranous and cytoplasmic staining in the epithelium of the bronchioles and on 5% of pneumocytes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testis</td>
<td>Strong membranous and cytoplasmic staining in the spermatogonia in all the seminiferous ducts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Membranous staining on tubules. No staining on glomerulus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovary</td>
<td>Membranous and cytoplasmic staining on oocytes and in the stroma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallopian tubes</td>
<td>Membranous and cytoplasmic staining on the epithelium of the fallopian tubes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterus</td>
<td>Membranous (and slightly cytoplasmic) staining on endometrial glands (epithelial cells). Staining also observed in the vascular endothelium.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Membranous staining on hepatocytes and on the epithelium of some central veins.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

animals, issued by the French Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation (#14073).

C57BL/6J and nude mice were reared at Janvier Laboratories and Charles River laboratories. Transgenic mice with a 23.4 kb human genomic fragment (MICAgen mice), comprising the entire huMICA*007 allele and expressing MICA in a strictly controlled manner, as recently described was obtained from Steinele lab. MICAgen mice are licensed for Innate Pharma experiments by Fred Hutch and Goethe University. Rj:NMRI-Foxn1 nu/nu, cat# SM-NMRNU-F mice were obtained from Janvier Laboratories. Athymic Nude - Foxn1nu mice from ENVIGO (Gannat, France) and Crl:SHO-Ptkdcsid Hhr1 SHO mice from Charles River Laboratories and used by Xentech for

Table 3. MICA and MICB protein expression in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues from MICAgen mouse as determined by immunohistochemistry. This table summarizes MICA/MICB expression observed on epithelial cells in most of the MICAgen mice tissues using Mia4 mAb.
PDXs models. Mice were received in the SOPF experimental zone at least one week before the beginning of the experiments. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the rules of the Innate Pharma ethics and animal welfare committees.

Human primary cells
Peripheral blood samples from healthy donors were obtained from the Etablissement Français du Sang (EFS, Marseille) under a written consent obtained from each volunteer by the EFS (transfer agreement #AC-2019-3428). Tumors tissues from patients were obtained at the time of surgical resection under approved protocol and written informed consent from each patient. The protocol was approved by local ethics and human investigations committee (IDRCB #2017-A00778-45) and by the Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Marseille (AP-HM).

IHC
Formalin-fixed paraffin embedded blocks were sliced in 5 μm-thick sections and immunostainings performed on a Discovery Ultra or a Benchmark Ultra (Ventana). All samples except breast cancer tissues were stained on a Discovery Ultra automaton. After pre-treatment with cell conditioning 1, sections were incubated for 1 hour at 37°C with anti-MICA/B (clone Mia4, Innate Pharma) primary antibody or mouse IgG1 isotype control at 2 μg/mL (for staining on the Discovery Ultra) or at 6.6 μg/mL (for staining on the Benchmark Ultra). Then, signal amplification using the discovery Amp HQ kit (760-052) or the UltraView kit (760-500) was performed. After revelation with 3,3-diaminobenzidine, counterstaining with hematoxylin and bluing, sections were washed, dehydrated, cleared and coverslipped. Stained sections were finally scanned on a slide scanner (S60 Nanozoomer, Hamamatsu or a Pannoramic scan II, 3DHistech). Staining was interpreted and scored by trained pathologists that determined the MICA/B expression on the tumor cells. Samples with more than 1% of MICA/B positive tumor cell were considered MICA/B positive.

Normal tissues used for IHC analysis
Panel of multiple organs of normal tissue microarray (cat. n. FDA999k, BioMax Us) were stained with Mia-4 as per the previously described IHC protocol. In total 32 types of normal human organs were analyzed including cerebrum, cerebellum, adrenal gland, ovary, pancreas, parathyroid gland, hypothysis, testis, thyroid gland, breast, spleen, tonsil, thymus gland, bone marrow, lung, heart, esophagus, stomach, small intestine, colon, liver, salivary gland, kidney, prostate, uterus, uterine cervix, skeletal muscle, skin, peripheral nerve, mesothelium, eye and larynx, each type taken from three normal human individuals, single core per case.

Production and purification of antibodies
Antibodies were produced as previously described. The sequences encoding the light chain variable and heavy chain variable domains of the various antibodies were inserted into the SLX-192 expression vector in frame, it could be replaced with Gateway® pDEST™26 Vector (Thermofisher 11809019) with the desired human or mouse constant regions. Expression vectors for the light chain and the heavy chain of each antibody (prepared as endotoxin-free midipreps) were used to co-transfect a CHO cell line (Thermofisher K1535). The cells were used to seed culture flasks at a density of 3 x 105 cells per mL and cultured in BalanceCD medium (Fujifilm). The supernatants were harvested after ten days and passed through a Stericup filter with 0.22 μm pores (Sartorius 180C2). Antibodies were purified with MabSelect PrismaA beads (Cytiva 17549802), eluted with 0.1 M sodium citrate buffer at pH 4.5 and immediately neutralized with 1 M Tris pH 8.5. The proteins were then dialyzed overnight with PBS1X at 4°C and analyzed to check for the absence of aggregates and endotoxins.

Production of MICA/B Fc-silent having N297S mutation
Antibodies were produced as previously described. Briefly, IPH43 Fc-silent was produced as chimeric antibodies bearing human IgG1 constant regions comprising an asparagine to serine substitution at Kabat heavy chain residue 297 (N297S), thereby eliminating native N297-linked glycosylation. The antibody comprised an acceptor glutamine at amino acid residue 295 (Kabat EU numbering) of their heavy chains, such that the reactive linker was conjugated to residue Q295 on each heavy chain of the antibodies (each antibody has two conjugated moieties; DAR=2), and lacking significant effector function.

Luminex assay
A LifeCodes LSA-MIC Luminex kit (Immucor, cat. n. 265300R) was used to determine the binding of MICA/B and an isotypic control to the products of 28 different alleles of MICA, as recommended by the manufacturer and described elsewhere.

Binding of MICA/B to cells expressing MICA
Cell staining was performed with the range of doses of MICA/B or isotype control (IC) mAb indicated in the corresponding experiments, to monitor binding to MICA on cells. Various doses of antibody were incubated with the cells for 1 hour at 4°C. The cells were then washed and goat anti-human IgG (H+L)-PE secondary antibody (IM1626, BC) was added and the cells were incubated for a further 30 minutes at 4°C. The cells were washed and then acquired and analyzed on a FACS CANTO II (HTS) (BECTON DICKINSON (BD)) flow cytometer equipped with FACS Diva software, and analyzed with FlowJo X 10.0.7r2 software.

51Chromium release assay
Target cells were stained with 50 μCi of 51chromium (PERKIN ELMER, cat. no. NEZ030002MC) per million cells for 1 h at 37°C. 50 μL of antibody were loaded onto a 96-well plate, completed with 100 μL of 51chromium-loaded target cells and then 50 μL of NK or PBMC. The plates were then incubated for 4 h at 37°C, and 50 μL of supernatant was collected and transferred to a LumaPlate (Perkin Elmer, cat. no. 6006633). Plates were dried at 56°C and read with a TopCount (NXT™ Perkin Elmer) apparatus. A control condition without antibody was used to assess basal target cell lysis by NK or PBMC. In addition, a control without antibody and without NK or PBMC was used to determined spontaneous 51chromium release.
from the target cells. A control with 2% Triton X-100 on target cells was used to determine the maximal level of chromium release from target cells. Experiments were performed in duplicate or triplicate, depending on the number of effector cells obtained.

**ADCC assay**

PBMCs were isolated from buffy coat samples (EFS: Etablissement Français du Sang, Marseille) by density gradient separation (Pancoll tube: PAN BIOTECH, cat. no. P04-60100). The PBMC preparation was then enriched in NK cells by negative selection with a human NK cell isolation kit and LS separation columns (MACS-Miltenyi, cat. no. 130-092-657) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. PBMCs were used at and effector:target (E:T) cell ration of 100:1 or 200:1, whereas purified NK cells were used at an E:T ratio of 10:1. A range of antibody doses was added to the effector cells. Chromium-loaded target cells were added and classical chromium release assay performed.

**ADCP assay**

Monocytes were isolated with the human Kit CD14 microbeads (Miltenyi, cat. no. 130-050-201), resuspended in macrophage medium (complete medium: RPMI-1640 containing 10% FCS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 1x NEAA supplemented with 20 ng/mL M-CSF and 1x penicillin/streptomycin solution) and differentiated for seven to nine days, with medium renewal every two to three days. The day before the ADCP experiment, monocyte-derived macrophages were used to seed a flat-bottomed 96-well plate (100 000 macrophages/well) in complete RPMI medium, incubated overnight at 37°C and starved from FCS for 2 h in RPMI without additives. Antibodies were diluted and added to the plates containing macrophages. PKH67 (SIGMA, cat. no. PKH67GL-1KT)-labeled target cells were added to macrophages with an E:T ratio of 1:4 (400 000 target cells /well). The plate was centrifuged for 2 min at 300 x g and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. After incubation, the supernatants containing non-phagocytosed target cells in suspension were discarded, and trypan blue (diluted 1/2 in PBS, 50 μL/well) was added to the plate and incubated with the cells for 1 minute to quench extracellular fluorescence. The trypan blue was then removed and the plate was analyzed on an EnSpire® Multimode Plate Reader (Perkin Elmer) to quantify residual PKH67 fluorescence from cells subjected to phagocytosis, corresponding to macrophage intracellular fluorescence.

**Cypher-5 internalization**

MICA antibodies or IC were conjugated with Cypher5E Mono NHS Ester (Ge Healthcare, cat. no. PA15401), as recommended by the supplier. MICAB1-Cypher5 or IC-Cypher5 at 1 μg/mL was added to 50 000 Raji wt or Raji MICA*001 cells, and the mixture was incubated for 30 minutes, 1 h, 4 h or overnight at 37°C. Internalization was stopped by placing the plate on ice for 10 minutes. The cells were washed and resuspended in cold PBS containing the mortality marker Sytox blue (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. S34857) diluted 1/10000. Cells were acquired and analyzed on a FACS CANTO10 (BECTON DICKINSON (BD)) flow cytometer, with FACS Diva software.

**Conjugation of MICAB1 to first- or second-generation (1G or 2G) pyrrolobenzodiazepines**

Conjugations were performed as previously described. PBD1G was purchased from Levena and PBD2G was provided by Spirogen. A two-step process based on use of click chemistry reactive groups was used in which a lysine-based linker with a reactive group is first bound to the acceptor glutamines of the antibodies, followed by reaction with a second compound that includes the PBD1G (purchased from Levena) or PBD2G (given from Spirogen) and a complementary reactive group. To obtain the intermediate antibody bound to a reactive linker, 5 mg/mL mutant mAb was incubated with 20 equivalents of a reactive lysine-based linker (NH2-PEG-N3) (Click chemistry tools, cat. n. AZ101) per site of coupling and 2 U/mL BTG (Zedira, cat. n. T153) overnight at 37°C in PBS. The mAb-reactive linker conjugate was purified by affinity chromatography on protA. In order to produce the final ADC comprising a pyrrolobenzodiazepine (PBD) dimer, the azide-functionalized antibody above (2 mg/mL in PBS/1,2-propanediol 50/50 v/v) was then incubated with 1.75 molar equivalent of DBCO-val-ala-PBD (DBCO-val-ala-PBD1G, purchased from Levena) or (DBCO-val-ala-PBD2G, given form SPIROGEN) or per site of coupling (the DBCO reacts with the azide). The mixture was incubated for 48-72h at RT with gentle agitation. Completion of the reaction was controlled by LC-ESI-MS (Dar >1.9). Excess of derivatized-PBD was removed by dialysis (MWCO=10 kDa), followed by purification by size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200 10/300GL column, GE Healthcare). The final compounds were concentrated on Amicon 30K devices.

**LC/MS analysis of ADCs**

Entire antibody was analyzed for DAR determination as previously described. ADC products were eluted on a PLRP-S polymeric reverse-phase column (2.1 x 50 mm, 5 μm, 4000 Å, Agilent) heated at 80°C, at a flow rate of 0.35 mL/min, using the following gradient: 0–1 min, 5% B; 1–4 min, 5–50% B; 4–5 min, 50% B; 5–6 min, 50–5% B; 6–7 min, 5% B (A, water + 0.1% formic acid; B, acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid). Analytes were ionized by electrospray and detected by a micro-TOF QII mass spectrometer (Bruker) operating in positive TOF-MS mode. Raw data were analyzed with Data Analysis software (Bruker), and deconvolution was performed using MaxEnt1, which could be replaced with OA-Analysis Engine.

**In vivo experiments.** Mice had specific and opportunistic pathogen free (SOPF) health status. Changes to litter were made every week for animals housed in a group. Animals housed in pairs were changed once every two weeks. The litter was composed of dusted poplar wood and the enrichment included a poplar brick as well as short cotton fibers. Mice were housed in ventilated cages with a maximum of five per cage of 500 cm² on the ground. Animals were observed daily including weekends and holidays. Mice were housed in standardized temperature conditions (22°C ± 2°C) with relative humidity between...
40 - 75% and had a 12-hour day and night daily lighting cycle. Both supply and exhaust air were HEPA-filtered and renewed 15-20 times per hour. Complete irritated maintenance diet for rats, mice and hamsters and autoclaved tap water were available ad libitum in each cage. The acclimatization period before handling was a minimum of five days and the animals were handled only in dedicated rooms.

For the in vivo experiments in PDXs models performed at Xentech, the animal care and housing were in accordance with French regulatory legislation concerning the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. Mice were housed in groups of a maximum of seven animals during the acclimation period and a maximum of six animals during the experimental phase. Mice were housed inside individually ventilated cages (IVC) of polysulfone (PSU) plastic (mm 213 W x 362 D x 185 H, Allentown, USA) with sterilized and dust-free bedding cobs. Food and water were sterilized. Animals were housed under a light-dark cycle (14-hour circadian cycle of artificial light) and controlled room temperature and humidity. At request, the environmental conditions were monitored and the data were retained in the Central Animal House Archive. Drinking water was provided ad libitum. Each mouse was offered daily a complete pellet diet (150-SP-25, SAFE) throughout the study. The analytical certificate of animal food and water was retained at the CERFE premises. HBCx-5 and HBCx-34 breast tumor-bearing mice received estrogen diluted in drinking water. β-estradiol powder was suspended in 10% absolute ethanol and 90% distilled water to obtain the final concentration of 850 mg/l. This stock solution was added at 1/100 into the drinking water to obtain a final concentration of 8.5 mg/l. All animals were weighed before each experiment and identified by a unique pattern for ear punch numbering system. Each cage was identified by a paper tag indicating: cage number, mouse strain and number, tumor code, date of experiment.

**In vivo MICAB1 efficacy in mouse tumor models**

**Disseminated Raji MICA*001 model.** 1.5x10⁶ Raji MICA*001 cells were injected intravenously (i.v.) into the tail vein in CB17 SCID females NOD (non-obese diabetic) SCID mice of 8-12 weeks old. The day of cell engraftment, different groups (n = 8-18 pool of two independent experiments) were treated with MICAB1-Fc-engineered Ab or IC. Mice were observed and weighed two to three times per week. All mice were used for this experiment without any exclusion. Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation when the weight loss was more than 20% or 15% (the day before weekend). End of protocol was at day 100. No adverse events were described. Group of animals for experiment 1: MICAB1 at 0.05 - 0.5 - 5 mg/kg (n=8) and IC at 5 mg/kg (n=8). Group of animals for experiment 2: MICAB1 at 0.5 - 2.5 mg/kg (n=10) and IC at 2.5 mg/kg (n=9).

**Disseminated Raji MICA*001 and Raji MICA*008 i.p. models.** 1.5x10⁶ Raji MICA*001 cells with low level of MICA expression or Raji MICA*008 with medium level of MICA expression were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) into NOD-SCID immunodeficient mice, which were then treated with single injection of i.v. 0.5 mg/kg MICAB1-Fc-engineered mAb or BAMO3 mAb or isotype control mAb (n= seven or eight mice per group). 24h after treatment, peritoneal cavity lavage (PCL) were performed to analyze cell number using microscope. The monitoring of mice weight was not performed. Six groups of animals: MICAB1 (one group for each tumor cell line), BAMO3 (one group for each tumor cell line), IC (one group for each tumor cell line) and eight mice per group were used. A total of 44 8-9 week-old NOD SCID female mice were used. All mice were used for this experiment without any exclusion. Stratified randomization according to the mouse age was used. End of protocol was at day 1. No adverse events were described.

**Solid B16-F10 MICA*001 tumor model.** 3x10⁶ B16F10-MICA*001 tumor cells mixed with Matrigel (Corning) (1: 1) were inoculated s.c. in MicaGen mice at day 0. A total of 32 8-10-week-old MicaGen male or female mice were used. Stratified randomization was used when average tumor volume (TV) is ~61mm³ at day 5. The day of randomization 20 mice with 25mm³<TV<166mm³ were selected, 12 mice were excluded (four mice with TV<25mm³, three mice TV>166mm³, and five mice with malformed tumors). Two groups of mice (10 mice per group) were i.v. injected with 10 mg/kg of MICAB1-mIgG1a or IC-mIgG2a once a week for three weeks. Mice were monitored once to twice a week, the measured parameters including, TV and general health conditions. Tumor size was monitored with a digital caliper (Mitutoyo) and TVs were calculated as follows: TV = (length×width²) × (3.14/6). Body weight was not monitored for this experiment. Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation when tumors reach 1800 mm³ or when necrosis of tumors. End of protocol was at day 28 and end of experiment at day 35. No adverse events were observed.

**In vivo MICAB1 ADC efficacy in mouse tumor models**

**ADC-PBD1G efficacy**

**Xenogeneic model (HCT116)**

A total of 32 10-week-old NUDE NMRI female mice were used. 2x10⁶ HCT-116 were mixed with Matrigel (1:1) (BD Biosciences) and s.c. inoculated in the right flank of mice. After nine days, stratified randomization was used when average TV is ~106 mm³, 12 mice were excluded (n = 1 TV<81mm³, n = 10 TV>136mm³, n = 1 malformed tumors) and 20 mice were selected (81mm³<TV<136mm³). Mice were randomized to obtain two homogeneous groups (n=10 mice per group) with a similar distribution of tumor sizes. Mice were treated with i.p. injection of MICAB1-PBD1G or IC-PBD1G at 0.05 mg/ kg, once a week for three weeks. Injections were performed on anesthetized mice that were previously maintained for few minutes in a chamber containing 3.5% isoflurane. Mice were monitored once to two times a week, the measured parameters including TV and general health conditions. Tumor size was monitored with a digital caliper (Mitsutoyo) and TV were calculated as above. No adverse events were described. Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation when tumors reach 2000 mm³ or when necrosis of tumors was observed. Weight monitoring was not performed for this experiment. End of protocol was at day 44.
**PDX model (HBCx-5)**

The anti-tumor activity of MICAB1-va-PBD1G was determined in (HBCx-5). A total of 46 female SHO mice (Crl:SHO-Prkdcscid Hrhr) aged 6–9 weeks old were used. The protocol has been previously described\(^a\). Briefly, 20 mm\(^3\) of tumor fragment from (tumors from donor mice, passage), was placed in the interscapular region, SC. Breast tumor-bearing mice received estrogen diluted in drinking water (β-estradiol, 8.5 mg/L), from the date of tumor implant to the date of inclusion (i.e. D0). Stratified randomization was used when average TV was ~130 mm\(^3\) at day 40. 20 mice were allocated, according to their TV, to give homogenous mean and median TV (60 mm\(^3\)<TV<200 mm\(^3\)) in each treatment arm and 26 mice were excluded with TV less than 60 mm\(^3\) or more than 200 mm\(^3\). Inclusion rate was 43%. Treatments were initiated 40 days post implantation of the tumor. A total of two groups were (10 mice per group) treated with IC-PBD1G (0.05 mg/kg qwk x 8 i.p.) or MICAB1-PBD1G (0.05 mg/kg qwk x 8 i.p.). All treatment doses were adjusted for body weight at time of dosing. In each experimental group, the mentioned dose was applied for all mice. Injected volume was around 100 μL. MICAB1-PBD1G or IC-PBD1G were i.p. administered on the enrolment day (i.e. D0). TV was evaluated by measuring perpendicular tumor diameters, with a caliper, twice a week during the whole experimental period. All animals were weighed at the same time as tumor size measurement. Relative body weight (RBW) loss was considered as an adverse effect of the treatment. Mice were observed every day for physical appearance, behavior and clinical changes. Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation when tumors reached 2000 mm\(^3\). Mice were sacrificed when necrosis of tumors was observed. No weight loss was reported. No adverse events were observed. The end of protocol was at 84 days.

**Syngeneic models (B16F10 MICA*001)**

A total of 90 eight-week-old C57BL6NRj female mice were used. 5x10\(^6\) B16F10 MICA*001 was mixed with Matrigel (1:1) (BD Biosciences) and s.c. inoculated in the right flank of mice. Stratified randomization was used when average TV was ~150 mm\(^3\) at day 4. The day of randomization, 70 mice were selected to obtain seven homogeneous groups with similar tumor size distribution (80 mm\(^3\)<TV<188 mm\(^3\)) (10 mice per group) and 20 mice were excluded (four mice with TV<80 mm\(^3\) and 16 mice with TV>188mm\(^3\)). Mice were treated at day 4 with MICAB1-PBD1G or IC-PBD1G at doses of 0.1, 0.25, 0.5 mg/kg. 13 mice with complete tumor regression (from MICAB1-PBD1G treated groups) in addition to 10 new (naïve) C57Bl/6 females mice were used in three groups. Sample size was decided according to number of available mice in complete remission. Two groups with nine mice in complete remission and 10 naïve mice were re-challenged with s.c injection of 5x10\(^6\) B16F10MICA*001 tumor cells. Four mice in complete remission were not re-challenged and were used as tumor-free mice controls. Mice were monitored two to three times a week, the measured parameters including mouse weight, TV and general health conditions. Tumor size was monitored with a digital caliper (Mitutoyo) and TV were calculated as above. All mice were fine, without weight loss. Mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation when TV reached 2000 mm\(^3\) or tumor necrosis was observed. No adverse events were observed. The end of protocol was at 82 days.

**ADC-PBD2G efficacy**

**Xenogeneic model (H1703)**

A total of 33 eight-week-old NUDE NMRI female mice were used. 7x10\(^6\) H1703 was mixed with Matrigel (1:1) (BD Biosciences) and s.c. inoculated in the right flank of mice. After nine days, stratified randomization was used when average TV was ~91 mm\(^3\).

The day of randomization, 27 mice was selected (55mm\(^3\)<TV<141mm\(^3\)) and six mice were excluded (four mice with TV<55mm\(^3\) and two mice with TV>141mm\(^3\)). Mice were randomized to obtain three homogeneous groups (n=9 mice per group) with a similar distribution of tumor sizes. Mice were treated with single i.v. injection of MICAB2-PBD1G or IC-PBD2G at 0.3 mg/kg or vehicle. Injections were performed on anesthetized mice that were previously maintained for few minutes in a chamber containing 3.5% isoflurane. Mice were monitored once to two times a week, the measured parameters including TV, body weight and general health condition. Tumor size was monitored with a digital caliper (Mitutoyo) and TVs were calculated as above. No adverse events were described. Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation when tumors reached 1500 mm\(^3\)-3000 mm\(^3\) or when necrosis of tumors was observed. No body weight loss was described for this experiment. The end of protocol was at day 131.

**Xenogeneic model (HCT116)**

A total of 70 eight-week-old NUDE NMRI female mice were used. 2x10\(^6\) HCT116 was mixed with Matrigel (1:1) (BD Biosciences) and s.c. inoculated in the right flank of mice. After five days, stratified randomization was used when average TV was ~106 mm\(^3\). The day of randomization, 63 mice were selected (55 mm\(^3\)<TV<171 mm\(^3\)). Seven mice were excluded (TV<55mm\(^3\)). Mice were randomized to obtain seven homogeneous groups (n=9 mice per group, seven groups) with a similar distribution of tumor sizes. Mice were treated with single i.v. injection of MICAB2-PBD1G (at three indicated dose) or IC-PBD2G (at three indicated dose) or vehicle. Doses were 0.5 or 1 or 2 mg/kg. Injections were performed on anesthetized mice that were previously maintained for few minutes in a chamber containing 3.5% isoflurane. Mice were monitored once to two times a week, the measured parameters including TV, body weight and general health conditions. Tumor size was monitored with a digital caliper (Mitutoyo) and TVs were calculated as above. No adverse events were described excepted for one mouse from the MICAB1-PBD2G group. This mouse was sacrificed at day 47 because of oedema. Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation when tumors reached 1500 mm\(^3\)-2000 mm\(^3\) or when necrosis of tumors was observed. No body weight loss was described for this experiment. The end of protocol was at day 83.
PDX models (HBCx-5 and HBCx-34)

The anti-tumor activity of MICAB1-va-PBD2G was determined in the Her2+ breast cancer PDX model (HBCx-5) and ER+PR+HER2-breast cancer PDX model (HBCx-34).

HBCx-5 model

A total of 49 female nude mice aged 6-9 weeks old were used. The protocol has been previously described\(^{38}\). Briefly, 20 mm\(^3\) of tumor fragment from (tumors from donor mice, passage (n-1)) was placed in the interscapular region, SC. Breast tumor-bearing mice received estrogen diluted in drinking water (β-estradiol, 8.5mg/L), from the date of tumor implant to the end of the experiment. Stratified randomization was used when average TV was \(<\)140 mm\(^3\) at day 40. 20 mice were allocated according to their TV to give homogenous mean and median TV (75 mm\(^3\)<TV<220 mm\(^3\)) in each treatment arm and 29 mice were excluded with TV less than 75 mm\(^3\) or more than 220 mm\(^3\). Inclusion rate was 41%. Treatments were initiated 40 days post implantation of the tumor. Two groups (10 mice per group) were treated with a single i.v. injection of MICAB1-PBD2G (0.5 mg/kg) or vehicle. All treatment doses were adjusted for body weight at time of dosing. In each experimental group, the mentioned dose was applied for all mice. Injected volume was around 100 μL. MICAB1-PBD2G or vehicle were i.v. administered on the enrolment day (i.e. D0). TV was evaluated by measuring perpendicular tumor diameters, with a caliper, twice a week during the whole experimental period. All animals were weighed at the same time as tumor size measurement. RBW loss was considered an adverse effect of the treatment. Mice were observed every day for physical appearance, behavior and clinical changes. Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation when tumors reached 1000 mm\(^3\) or when necrosis of tumors was observed. No weight loss was reported. No adverse events were observed. The end of protocol was at day 81.

MCA induced tumor models

Efficacy of MICAB1-PBD2G on 3-MCA model

A total of 90 7-14-week-old, transgenic male mice expressing human MICA*007 allele (MICAgen mice)\(^{39}\) were induced with 100 μg 3-MCA injected s.c. Mice were randomized into five groups (n=15 per group) when tumors reached \(<\)150 mm\(^3\). 75 mice were selected (105 mm\(^3\)<TV<288 mm\(^3\)) and 15 mice were excluded with TV less than 105 mm\(^3\). Stratified randomization was used when average TV was \(<\)150 mm\(^3\) to obtain homogeneous groups with similar tumor size distribution. Around 90-100 days after MCA treatment, mice were injected i.v. with MICAB1-PBD2G or IC-PBD2G at 0.5 mg/kg or 2 mg/kg or the vehicle (30 mM histidine, 200 mM sorbitol and 0.02% Tween 20 in PBS1X, pH=6) once on the day of randomization. Treatments were not blinded. Mice were observed and TV were evaluated by measuring perpendicular tumor diameters, with a caliper, one to twice a week during the whole experimental period. Body weight was not monitored for this experiment. Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation when tumors reached 1400-2100 mm\(^3\) or when necrosis of tumors was observed. No adverse effects were observed. The end of the protocol was at day 70 after first treatment.

Comparison between MICAB1-PBD2G and MICAB1-mIgG2a

The experimental design was the same as previously described. A total of 76 mice were used. Stratified randomization was used when average TV reached 120 mm\(^3\) to obtain homogeneous TV distribution. 52 mice were selected (120 mm\(^3\)<TV<300 mm\(^3\)) and 24 mice without tumor growth were excluded. Mice were randomized into four groups (n=13 per group), and injected i.v. once with MICAB1-PBD2G or IC-PBD2G at 2 mg/kg at 10mg/kg or three times with MICAB1-mIgG2a or IC-mIgG2a at 10 mg/kg per week. The first injection was on the day of randomization. Treatments were not blinded. Mice were observed and TV was evaluated by measuring perpendicular tumor diameters with a caliper and body weight was monitored once to twice a week during the whole experimental period. No weight loss was observed. No adverse effects were observed. Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation when tumors reached 1400 mm\(^3\) or when necrosis of tumors was observed. The end of protocol was at day 60 after first treatment.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Details on statistics used can be found in figure legends. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.0.3. The effects of the antibody and its concentration on the percentage of lysis, the MedFI and the phagocytosis are evaluated with
This project contains the following underlying data:

- **Fig. 1a** (NDPI files containing MICA/MICB specific positive staining with Mia4 Ab compared to IC in two breast cancer slides)
- **Fig. 1b** (NDPI files containing MICA/MICB staining on tissues slides from healthy donors)
- **Fig. 1c** (NDPI and mrxs files containing MICA/MICB staining on tumor slides from head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), mesothelioma, ovarian cancer, endometrial cancer, breast cancer and melanoma)
- **Fig. 1d** (XLSX file containing number of patients in different indication scored based on proportion of MICA/B positive cells)
- **Fig. 1e** (XLSX file containing number of patients with different subtypes of breast cancer scored based on proportion of MICA/MICB positive cells)
- **Fig. 1f** (MRXS files containing membranous MICA/MICB positive staining on two breast cancer slides at different scores)
- **Fig. 2a** (XLSX file containing BCM (background corrected mean fluorescence intensity) of MICAB1 at 10 μg/ml with different allele of MICA/B)
- **Fig. 2b** (XLSX file containing % specific lysis on C1R MICA*001 and C1R MICB*002 at indicated concentration of MICAB1 Fc-engineered vs IC)
- **Fig. 2C** (XLSX file containing % CD137 among total of NK cells at indicated conditions and FCS files containing data of CD137 expression in NK as measured by quantitative flow cytometry)
- **Fig. 2d-Lysis** (XLSX file containing data of % specific lysis on A549 and Raji MICA*001 at indicated conditions)
- **Fig. 2d-MFI** (XLSX file containing data of MFI at indicated conditions and - FCS files containing data of mAb binding (MICAB1 Ab or cetuximab or rituximab or IC) at the cell surface of A549 or Raji MICA*001 as measured by quantitative flow cytometry)
- **Fig. 2e** (XLSX file containing data of macrophage intracellular fluorescence intensity as measured by EnSpire at indicated conditions)
- **Fig. 3a** (XLSX files containing data of mice survival in different groups and data of body weight monitoring)
- **Fig. 3b** (XLSX file containing data of MFI at indicated conditions and FCS files of tumor cell counts in peritoneal cavity lavage of mice injected with Raji MICA*01 or MICA*08 and treated with MICAB1 or BAMO3 or IC as measured by quantitative flow cytometry)
- **Fig. 3c** (XLSX file containing data of tumor volume monitoring in indicated groups)
- **Fig. 3d** (XLSX file containing data of doubling time (day) for tumors in mice treated with IC or MICAB1)
- **Fig. 4a** (XLSX files containing data of MFI in Raji wt at indicated conditions and data of MFI in Raji MICA*001 at indicated conditions and FCS files of dye fluorescence upon anti-MICA mAb or IC internalization in Raji wt or Raji MICA*001 as measured by quantitative flow cytometry)
- **Fig. 4c** (XLSX file containing data of tumor (HCT116 xenograft model) volume monitoring in mice treated with IC-PBD1G or MICAB1-PBD1G)
- **Fig. 4d** (XLSX files containing data of tumor (HBCx-5 PDX model) volume monitoring in mice treated with IC-PBD1G or MICAB1-PBD1G and data of body weight monitoring in mice treated with IC-PBD1G or MICAB1-PBD1G)
- **Fig. 4e** (XLSX files containing data of tumor (B16F10 MICA*001) volume monitoring in mice treated with vehicle or IC-PBD1G or MICAB1-PBD1G, data of body weight monitoring in mice treated with vehicle or IC-PBD1G or MICAB1-PBD1G).

**Data availability**

**Underlying data**

Figshare: Targeting MICA/B with cytotoxic therapeutic antibodies leads to tumor control. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.5549109.v1

**Data are shown with means and error bars showing the SD or median and range. Data from one representative experiment tested in simplicate are shown without SD or range.**

**Tumor growth models are analyzed with a linear mixed-effects model (lmer):**

Volume ~Antibody + Time + Antibody:Time + (1|Mouse.ID). Antibody, time and the interaction are fixed effects. Mouse ID is the random effect. ANOVA was performed on this model to evaluate the significance of each fixed effect.

Pairwise differences of least squares mean for the factor antibody were computed. Confidence intervals and p-values were based on the t-distribution using degrees of freedom based on Kenward-Roger methods. The difference of percent of CD137+ NK cells between MICAB Fc-engineered and Isotype or Fc silent was evaluated by the Friedman rank sum test. The difference of cell counts between antibodies in the two Raji models (Raji *01, Raji*08) was evaluated by the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test and pairwise comparisons are estimated by Wilcoxon rank sum exact tests. Kaplan Meier curves were used for survival representations and log-rank tests were applied to evaluate the difference of survival. Significance was assumed with *p < 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01; ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001.

**Data availability**

**Underlying data**

This project contains the following underlying data:
IC-PBD1G or MICA1-PBD1G, data of mice survival in different groups and tumor volume monitoring in cured or naïve mice challenged with B16F10 MICA*001)

- Fig. 5a (XLSX files containing data of tumor (H1703 xenograft model) volume monitoring in mice treated with IC-PBD2G or MICA1-PBD2G or vehicle and data of body weight monitoring)

- Fig. 5b (XLSX files containing data of tumor (HC116 xenograft model) volume monitoring in mice treated with IC-PBD2G or MICA1-PBD2G or vehicle and data of body weight monitoring)

- Fig. 5c (XLSX files containing data of tumor (HBCx-5 PDX model) volume monitoring in mice treated with vehicle or MICA1-PBD2G, data of tumor (HBCx-34 PDX model) volume monitoring in mice treated with vehicle or MICA1-PBD2G and data of body weight monitoring in these mice)

- Fig. 5d (NDPI files containing MICA expression on FFPE tissues slides from testis, liver and lung of MICAgen mice)

- Fig. 5e (XLSX file containing data of MFI with MICA1-APC or IC-APC and FCS files of MICA expression in MCA-induced tumors after transcriptional regulation of the genes for the MHC class I-related chain A and B ligands of NKG2D.

This project contains the following underlying data:

- XLSX file containing number of patients with different subtypes of breast cancer scored based on proportion of MICA/MICB positive cells

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).
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The authors have addressed a crucial and challenging issue in the context of mAb-mediated therapies against tumors, and represented by a general low efficacy of these approaches in solid tumors. The study proposes a novel therapeutic strategy based on the targeting of MICA and MICB molecules with mAb conjugated with a second generation of pyrrolobenzodiazepine less toxic for the target cells (MICAB1-PBD2G). The study, based on an array of in vivo models of solid tumors, including MCA-induced fibrosarcoma in an immunocompetent MICA-transgenic mouse model, and PDX, shows that MICAB1-PBD2G is a very effective approach. All the experiments have been well conducted and well described with an appropriate statistical analysis. All the sections of the manuscript are well written and complete. Overall, the study represents an important step forward in optimizing the therapies of solid tumors.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?
Yes

Is the study design appropriate and does the work have academic merit?
Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
Yes
In this manuscript, Bléry et al. report on the generation and evaluation of MICA/B-targeting antibodies that are tested in vitro and in vivo in a large panel of cancer models. Overall, the efficiency of the antibody MICAB1 was not sufficient in vivo against a solid tumor (melanoma), and this was the reason why antibody-drug conjugates (ADC) were developed and used in cancer models, among them patient-derived xenografts and carcinogen-induced tumors. The ADC lead to tumor control in the various configurations tested.

The article is sound, well performed and timely, as the targeting of some of the ligands of the NK and T cell activating receptor NKG2D, namely MICA/MICB, have not yet been tested with the ADC method but could represent, as this work shows, an interesting addition to the immunotherapeutic arsenal against cancer, and particularly solid tumors.

Although this manuscript is globally very relevant and interesting, some items could be clarified or a bit modified:

1. The Introduction is very short, especially in the last paragraph, where some background information about the toxin PBD, the associated technology and the mechanism of action could be added.

2. There are many abbreviations throughout the article that are not all explained at first appearance; adding a table with all of them listed and explained (for example, ADC: antibody-drug conjugate, etc) would help.

3. Likewise, a table of the cell lines used (there are a lot) with their name, the species of origin, where they come from and what is their histology (for example: melanoma) and another table of the mouse models used would help.

4. The Mia4 antibody clearly distinguishes normal from cancerous tissues with a bright positive staining of the latter. Would it be possible to demonstrate the specificity of this
antibody for MICA/MICB by including a reference antibody for comparison, such as the clone 6D4 or another one (for the IHC and/or for flow cytometry by staining MICA/MICB-transfected C1R cells with both antibodies)?

5. What was the rationale for the choice of C1R cells for the transfection experiments? The absence of endogenous MICA/MICB, the low expression of HLA class I, other?

6. When the MICAB1-Fc engineered antibody was used, was the isotype control in all cases also Fc-engineered? This is stated in one figure legend but not everywhere in the text.

7. Could the authors indicate one or two reference(s) for the use of CD137 as a NK cell-activation marker?

8. In figure 2b, ADCC induced by MICAB1-Fc engineered was compared with the isotype control. Was the "basic" level of NK cell cytotoxic activity (natural killing) evaluated in parallel?

9. In figure 2c, in the C1R-neo condition, 25% of the cells are CD137+, although there is no ligand for the antibody on this target cell line (in principle).

10. The in vitro experiments were often done only twice.

11. Figure 4: the color code in the right part of figure 4e is not optimal.

Minor points
1. There are some typos throughout the manuscript or sentences whose structure could be slightly modified.

2. Adverse events in the mouse experiments: was there a precise list that was checked? Body weight surveillance is considered frequently as a standard in invasive mouse experiments.

3. What were the "general health conditions" controlled. Here, a table might be more precise.

4. On page 20, what is the vehicle for the control groups of the in vivo models? The same than in the MCA model?

5. In the legend to figure 2, is the value p=0.14 considered as significant, or is this a typo?
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